

07/31/2003





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/001,752 10/24/2001 Giuseppe Rosa 163-354 4343

590

James V. Costigan HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C. Suite 2003 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-2646 EXAMINER
STINSON, FRANKIE L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1746

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{U}_{\sim}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/001,752	ROSA, GIUSEPPE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/001,752

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Gorden or Llewellyn et al. in view of either Scott or Kosofsky et al.

 Re claim 1, Gorden and Llewellyn are cited disclosing a trolleyed washing tank having a collection tank and a second pump (as claimed in the specific location), which differs from the claim only in the recitation of the first pump. Scott and Kosofsky are cited disclosing a first pump (104 in Kosofsky and 19 in Scott). It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of either Gorden or Llewellyn, to include a first pump as taught by either Scott or Kosofsky, for the purpose of positively removing the debris-laden fluid for recycling. Re claim 2, Llewellyn discloses the brush. Re claim 3, Gorden and Llewellyn disclose the angled base. Re claim 4, Gorden discloses the filter.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Magliocca.

 Claim 5 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the support. Magliocca discloses the support as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of either Gorden or Llewellyn, for the purpose of providing a more compact arrangement.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,752 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Hearn et al., Ehrhardt, Holzbeger, Franz et al., Knowlton and Yamamoto et al., note the cleaning means.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. The examiner can normally be reached during the first week of the pay-period M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and during the second week of the pay-period from Tu-Th second from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and on Fri. from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. Alternating Mondays off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (NON-FINAL REJECTION STATUS) and (703) 872-9311 (AFTER-FINAL REJECTION STATUS).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages, forms etc.), contact Office Manger Ms. Sandra Sewell (703) 308-0661.

FRANKIE L. STINSON

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

fls